

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/654,761	09/04/2003	Dennis Ausiello	17509-0065	6913	
29052 7:	590 11/16/2005		EXAM	INER	
<del>-</del>	SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP			HAN, MARK K	
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, G	REE STREET, N.E. A 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3767		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summer:	10/654,761	AUSIELLO ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark K. Han	3767
eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNE 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	ugust 2005.	
2a)□		action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposit	tion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1-10,12-27,29 and 32-35 is/are reject	ted.	
7)🖂	Claim(s) 11,28,30 and 31 is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
pplicat	tion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
	The drawing(s) filed on 04 September 2003 is/		objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		
	application from the International Burea	•	•
	• •		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date see continuation.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application No. 10/654,76

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date: 23 February 2004, 26 April 2004, 09 August 2004

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's arguments, see pp. 2-3, filed 19 August 2005, with respect to the restriction/election of species requirement have been fully considered and are persuasive. The restriction/election of species requirement has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 12-18, 22-27, 29 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,797,898 to Santini, Jr. et al. (hereinafter "Santini") in view of Rubin, et al., "The Potential of Parathyroid Hormone as a Therapy for Osteoporosis," *Int. J. Fertil.* 47(3): 103-15 (2002) (cited by applicants; hereinafter "Rubin").

Santini discloses an implantable device having a substrate, plurality of reservoirs, a release system, control means, sensor and reservoir caps. See Figures 1-7c. Santini suggests that hormones may be used in the release system but does not specifically employ parathyroid hormone. See col. 5, lines 12-18. Rubin suggests the use of parathyroid hormone to treat osteoporosis. Rubin discusses dosage, length of therapy and the use of bone resorption inhibitors as well. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system

disclosed by Santini, by including parathyroid hormone as the release system in order to provide therapy for those suffering from osteoporosis.

3. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santini and Rubin, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,990 to Knepp et al. (hereinafter "Knepp").

Santini and Rubin disclose the claimed invention as shown above except for the parathyroid hormone in a lyophilized form. Knepp discloses a way to lyophilize parathyroid hormone with an excipient. See col. 4, lines 4-10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Santini and Rubin by including a lyophilized form of parathyroid hormone in order to enhance the shelf life of the drug.

4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santini and Ruben, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,011,011 to Hageman.

Santini and Rubin disclose the claimed invention as shown above except for an excipient of polyethylene glycol. Hageman teaches the use of polyethylene glycol as an excipient with parathyroid hormone. See col. 3, line 28 through col. 4, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Santini and Rubin by including polyethylene glycol as an excipient in order to provide a sustained or prolonged release of the hormone.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 28, 30 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, 5. but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,761

Art Unit: 3767

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark K. Han whose telephone number is 571-272-4958. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark K. Han Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3767

mal

mkh

November 14, 2005

KEVIN C. SIRMONS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Plani C. Sermon

Page 4